

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,914	12/22/2000	Antonius Henricus Maria Raaijmakers	PHN 17,819	2618
24737	7590 04/11/2003			
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICAN CORP			EXAMINER	
	580 WHITE PLAINS RD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591		CHUNG, DAVID Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2871	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) 1/9			
	09/745,914	RAAIJMAKERS ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	David Y. Chung	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	I			
Peri d for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	anuary 2003				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		W. F			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	p				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have bedreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage).			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)	s priority under 35 U.S.	5. 33 120 BIIU/OF 12 I.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3:	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/745,914

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang et al. (U.S. 6,330,042).

Kang et al. discloses a liquid crystal display comprising a transparent pixel electrode, gate insulator, and passivation layer. Note in figure 5e, the pixel electrode 141, passivation layer 137, and gate insulator 117. Kang et al. teaches that an inorganic insulating material such as silicon nitride is deposited on the gate electrode to form the gate insulator. See column 5, lines 29-32. Kang et al. teaches that an inorganic insulating material such as silicon oxide is deposited on the whole surface of the substrate 101 to form a passivation layer 137. See column 5, lines 54-56. An ITO layer is deposited on the passivation layer and patterned to form the pixel electrode 141, gate connector 157, and source pad connector 167. See column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 5.

Art Unit: 2871

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang et al. (U.S. 6,330,042) in further view of Senior (U.S. 6,466,686), Itsumi et al. (U.S. 5,559,504), Fujiwara (U.S. 6,185,319), and Harkin (U.S. 6,327,376).

Kang et al. does not teach using the disclosed liquid crystal display as a fingerprint sensor. However, it was well known and obvious to use a liquid crystal display as part of a fingerprint sensing apparatus in order to display information. Evidence of this is provided in the disclosures of Harkin (column 9, lines 13-29), Itsumi et al. (column 11, lines 19-30), Senior (column 4, lines 8-13), and Fujiwara (column 9, lines 20-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the liquid crystal display of Kang et al. in a fingerprint sensing apparatus in order to display information.

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Khow Chuy
T. Cho a dhury
Primary Examiner
Tab Center 2800

Page 4

David Chung GAU 2871 04/06/03